Burnout and associated factors in psychiatry residents: a systematic review

Min Kai Chan¹, Qian Hui Chew², Kang Sim³

¹Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore ²Research Division, Institute of Mental Health, Singapore ³West Region, Institute of Mental Health, Singapore

Correspondence: Associate Professor Kang Sim, Institute of Mental Health/Woodbridge Hospital, 10, Buangkok View, Singapore, 539747. Email: ksim6133@gmail.com

Accepted: July 07, 2019

Abstract

Objectives: This study aimed to systematically review extant data on the prevalence of burnout amongst psychiatry residents, examine the contributory factors, and consider potential ways to manage burnout.

Methods: A systematic literature review was conducted on all relevant articles within Pubmed/OVID Medline and ScienceDirect digital databases from January 2000 till March 2019 that investigated burnout in psychiatry residents. Variables of interest included questionnaires used to assess burnout, the prevalence of burnout, and its clinical correlates. Articles were included if they were observational or experimental studies and involved a sample consisting solely of or a subsample of psychiatry residents. The data are summarised and presented as a narrative synthesis.

Results: Twenty-two studies were included. The overall prevalence of burnout among psychiatry residents was 33.7%, which was associated with certain demographic (non-

parental status), training (juniors years of training, lower priority of psychiatry as career choice, lack of clinical supervision, discontinuation from training), work (high workload, long hours, insufficient rest), and learner factors (more stressors, greater anxiety, and depressive symptoms, low selfefficacy, decreased empathic capacity, poor coping, selfmedication, and use of mental health services).

Conclusions: These findings suggest that interventions such as refining candidate selection, enforcement of work hour limits, enhancement of support and supervision, and equipping of stress coping skills may ameliorate burnout related to training, work, and learner factors respectively. These findings and suggestions may apply to other residency programs. However, future studies should examine burnout longitudinally and evaluate the effectiveness of different interventions in reducing burnout within psychiatry residents.

Keywords: Burnout, psychiatry, residents, stress, support

Introduction

Recent reports including systematic reviews have observed relatively high rates of burnout amongst medical students, residents in training, and physicians ranging from 7 to 80%,¹⁻ ⁵ although actual rates may vary according to discipline. The wide range of burnout rates reported is thought to be related to the instruments used, threshold criteria for burnout employed as well as specific contextual factors unique to each group across different studies.³ Burnout is commonly understood as a syndrome comprising of a triad of emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation and decreased personal accomplishment. It is qualitatively different from depression in that it is related to and occurs within the context of one's work environment. Germane to this, Erschens and colleagues³ found that up to 75% of medical students suffered from professional burnout in their review of 12 studies. The

rates amongst physicians are similarly high, with up to 60% of those in medical and surgical specialities experiencing burnout.^{1,4} Amongst residents in training, recent studies have found that up to 80% of medical and surgical residents showed evidence of burnout.^{2,5} Thus far, there has been no systematic review of burnout prevalence specifically for psychiatry residents.

Left unattended, burnout can have undesirable consequences, including disruption to work, reduced productivity, decreased job satisfaction, decreased quality of patient care, disruption of personal relationships, and increased anxiety and depression.⁶ The World Federation of Mental Health specifically included a section to address issues of burnout and stress in the workplace in their 2017 report for World Mental Health Day.⁷ Similarly, the Accreditation Council for

149

Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) recently highlighted the importance of addressing physician well-being and creating a culture of resident engagement and well-being.⁸

There are three relevant models of burnout which are worthy of consideration for medical education. The first is the stress/coping model proposed by Cherniss.⁹ It emphasises the prominence of stressors which can quickly accumulate for the novice in-training and burnout can be seen as one way of adapting or coping with the source of stress. The second is a phase model of burnout and is based on the commonly used Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) which cumulates eight different progressive phases of burnout by halving and combining scores of the three subscales.¹⁰ The third model is a conflict model with an emphasis on emotional exhaustion as the cardinal change in the context of the clash between personal aspirations and organisational needs. This can lead to depersonalisation, followed by diminished personal accomplishment, and then burnout.^{11,12}

Thus, in view of the negative effects of burnout on residents' training and personal well-being, we aim to review the extant literature to determine systematically, 1) the prevalence of burnout amongst psychiatry residents, 2) its association with various factors (including demographic, individual, work, training), and 3) consider ways to tackle burnout with the observed factors and aforementioned models of burnout in mind.

Methods

In accordance with the guidelines from the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA),^{13,14} a systematic review was conducted with two independent reviewers searching Pubmed/OVID Medline and ScienceDirect digital databases for studies on the prevalence and clinical correlates of burnout from January 2000 till March 2019. Keywords and combinations used for the literature search were "burnout" AND "psychiatry" AND "residents" OR "trainees".

Eligibility Criteria

Papers were selected for inclusion if they 1) were either observational or experimental studies, 2) involved a sample consisting solely of or a subsample of psychiatry residents 3) were focused on clinical burnout experienced in training, and 4) were written in English. Papers were excluded if they 1) did not include psychiatry residents in the sample, and 2) had a sample consisting of solely undergraduate medical students.

Study Selection

Potentially relevant articles were first screened based on abstracts by the first author (MK) to observe if they met the inclusion criteria. Following which, selected articles were then reviewed by all authors as full reports and their bibliographies screened for additional references. All differing opinions regarding the inclusion of articles were resolved through discussion.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

For each individual study, the first author (MK) extracted variables of interest, including the number and type of subjects, socio-demographic characteristics, questionnaires used especially burnout scales used, the prevalence of burnout, and clinical correlates of burnout. The data extracted for each included study were reviewed and verified by the other authors (QH and SK). Scoring of likely attrition or reporting bias for each study was modified from Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing bias in trials.¹⁵ Attrition bias is a bias arising from incomplete outcome data, and reporting bias is a bias arising from selective reporting of outcomes.¹⁵ The preceding data was organised into spreadsheets and then summarized in a table to aid comparisons between studies and independent consideration by readers. The data are then presented as a narrative synthesis in the Results.

Results

Out of the 120 potential publications initially identified, seven duplicates were removed. Thereafter, the 113 publications were screened and 91 excluded as they did not satisfy the inclusion criteria. This resulted in a total of 22 studies being chosen for this systematic review. Figure 1 displays the PRISMA flow chart of publications selected for inclusion in this review.

The 22 included studies in this review are summarised in Appendix 1. Overall, the majority of the studies (81.8%) were conducted in the West, mostly in North America (63.6%). Females constituted 55.2% of the studies, and the overall mean age was 29.9 years old.

In terms of burnout, 13 studies (59.0% of included studies) specifically adopted a categorical definition of burnout and reported prevalence rates. The ranges of burnout prevalence related to the use of 1, 2, or 3 burnout subscale scores as cut-offs were 27.9%-87.0%, 32.0%-40.0%, 4.4%-33% respectively. Out of these 13 studies, eight studies included residents in various other medical disciplines. In studies consisting of only psychiatry residents and with clearly reported prevalence rates irrespective of threshold criteria, the overall burnout rate amongst psychiatry residents was 33.7% (883/2619).

(A) Demographic factors

Demographic factors associated with burnout in psychiatry residents included age, gender, marital, and parental status. In terms of age, data are inconsistent in that rates of burnout were found to be associated with increased age,¹⁶ decreased age,¹⁷ or had no association with age.¹⁸ In terms of gender, while one study found that female residents had higher scores on the MBI subscales,¹⁹ two studies^{20,21} found the converse in that male residents had higher scores. However, two other studies found no association between gender and burnout.^{18,22} For marital and parental status, a study by Woodside and colleagues²¹ amongst psychiatry and family medicine residents observed that those residents with children had



Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart of studies included in this review

lower burnout scores compared to those without children, regardless of gender. This was in agreement with the findings of Jovanović and colleagues¹⁷ in a relatively large international study of psychiatry residents in which severe burnout was 44% higher for psychiatry residents without children compared to those with children. However, Martini and colleagues²² did not find an association of burnout with marital or parental status.

(B) Training and work-related factors

Regarding training-related factors, being a resident in junior years of training^{18,20,23} and not choosing psychiatry as a first career choice were variables associated with burnout.¹⁷ For example, Kealy and colleagues¹⁸ reported that burnout rates in PGY-4 and PGY-5 residents ranged from 16%-18% as compared to 27%-31% in PGY-2 and PGY-3 residents. Jovanović and colleagues¹⁷ found that within a large cohort of international psychiatry residents, the lower priority of psychiatry as one's specialty of choice was related to burnout. During training, burnout was also related to lack of clinical supervision,¹⁷ reduced satisfaction with clinical faculty,^{22,23} poorer perceived quality of supervision,²⁴ reduced helpseeking from supervisors¹⁸ as well as discontinuation from training.²⁵ In this regard, objective measures (presence/absence of clinical supervision) and subjective measures of adequate supervision (e.g. perceived quality of supervision) have been used within several studies.^{17,24} The odds of developing burnout in psychiatry residents without clinical supervision is 63% higher compared to those with clinical supervision.¹⁷ In addition, poorer perceived quality of supervision has been associated with an increased prevalence of burnout among residents of psychiatry and six other specialities.²⁴

Pertaining to work-related factors, increased workload especially related to patient care responsibilities, long working hours, insufficient rest (less than 11 hours/day), and perceived wage adequacy were associated with burnout.^{17,22,24,26,27} In one study,¹⁷ long working hours in residency contributed to higher levels of burnout, with the odds increasing by 9% per additional hour spent on work each week. In addition, those working more than 80 hours a week had a significantly higher prevalence of burnout (69.2%) compared to those working 80 hours or less a week (38.5%).²² In addition, residents on a 24-hour call versus night float system,²⁷ and with a greater total number of hours spent on the electronic health record outside work²⁸ were associated with higher burnout scores suggesting that striking the right balance between the work and rest is important there is evidence that reduction of work hours can reduce burnout.²²

(C) Learner factors

In terms of learner factors, a greater number of stressful life events, a higher level of perceived stress, fatigue, and worry were associated with higher burnout scores.^{16,19, 29, 30} Burnout was also associated with anxiety, depressive symptoms,^{16, 29} and low levels of self-efficacy.²⁴ These outcomes can be linked to decreased empathic capacity and functioning,^{18,31} poor coping¹⁸ and lower perceived quality of patient care provided,²⁴ further leading to self-prescription with psychotropic medications, and use of mental health services including psychotherapy.^{16,18} There is some evidence that a curriculum (especially resident-led) with focus on stress management, resilience building, and empathy training may be feasible and effective in reducing burnout.^{32, 33}

Discussion

There are several main findings. First, studies were mostly conducted in the West, and whilst the prevalence of burnout varies according to the threshold criteria adopted, the overall prevalence of burnout amongst studies focussing solely on psychiatry residents was 33.7%. Second, burnout in psychiatry residents was associated with certain demographic (nonparental status), training (juniors years of training, lower priority of psychiatry as career choice, lack of clinical supervision, discontinuation from training), work (high workload, long work hours, insufficient rest), and learner factors (more stressors, greater anxiety, and depressive symptoms, low levels of self-efficacy, decreased empathic capacity, poor coping, self- medication, and use of mental health services) which can be viewed within the context of stress/coping, phase, and conflict theoretical models of burnout.

The overall prevalence rate of burnout amongst psychiatry residents was within the range of burnout rates reported amongst residents from different medical disciplines (13%-80%).^{2.5,20} The observed rates can differ depending on the instrument used as well as threshold criteria applied across the studies.³ Although the majority of studies included within this review adopted the Maslach Burnout Inventory, many did not report prevalence rates specifically for psychiatry residents. Various studies have also found inter-disciplinary variations of overall burnout and subscale scores, which could occur due to the differing nature of each residency program across years of training.^{19,33}

Implications of the Findings

Burnout in psychiatry residents was associated with training factors such as residency year. The stress/coping model emphasises the importance of understanding burnout as a way of coping with stressors faced during training or work, especially at an earlier stage of one's career.⁹ Viewed within this

framework, psychiatry residents in junior years of training.^{18,} ²⁰ are more vulnerable. When combined with the expectancy of heavier workload and inadequate formal supervision,^{17, 24} junior residents in training may be at an increased risk of burnout. This may be compounded by stressors outside work such as family and interpersonal issues^{16, 23} which can overwhelm the resident. There is evidence that some individuals even downplay the stressors, and may delay seeking help from supervisors.^{18,30} In addition, residents may adopt maladaptive coping measures which have been associated with burnout amongst psychiatry residents.¹⁸ Poor coping can result in reduced satisfaction with clinical faculty or poor perceived quality of supervision.²⁴ In this early career model, possible interventions to consider include an arrangement of more customised and extended orientation for the new residents in new postings, a gradual increase of workload and training demands in earlier years of residency, and inculcation of a supportive learning environment.

Burnout in psychiatry residents was also associated with work-related factors such as long working hours. For the phase model of burnout based on MBI subscale scores,¹⁰ the emphasis is on the evolution of symptoms of burnout over time with different combinations of MBI subscale scores constituting progressive phases of burnout if left unattended. Within this context and related to the findings of this review, increasing workload, combined with longer work or training hours, and a more taxing 24-hours call system^{17, 22, 27} may lead to a build-up of symptoms of varying severity which can lead to full-blown burnout over time. Appropriate interventions based on this model entail organisational change related to the identification of work-related factors contributing to burnout (e.g. reducing duty hours, call system modification), ensuring adequate rest after each day of training and on-call, as well as monitoring adherence to these principles longitudinally.

Personal factors contribute to burnout in psychiatry residents as well. The conflict model serves to explain how personal, work-related, and training factors collectively contribute to the phenomenon of burnout. This model focuses on the importance of emotional exhaustion as the start of a cascade of stress responses¹² and occurs within the context of an individual desiring to do well in the face of stressors and increased workload. The individual may cope by depersonalisation, which can further lead to decreased personal accomplishment and subsequent burnout.12 The findings of our review highlighted that emotional responses such as anxiety, worry, and depression may ensue in the context of overwhelming work-related, personal and interpersonal stressors.^{19,30} This may be associated with reduced empathic capacity,31 decreased sense of the perceived quality of care for patients²⁴ and even self-treatment with medications.¹⁶ Relevant measures to consider include better equipping of stress and fatigue management skills, encouragement of more adaptive coping measures, strengthening supervisor support, and empowering residents to participate in decision making to increase their sense of autonomy.

Practical Steps for Residency Programs

What then are some practical ways to ameliorate or prevent burnout amongst psychiatry residents which are relevant for other residency programs? Whilst there may not be a single ideal way to manage burnout based on this myriad of associated factors,³⁵ a 4S (selection, the standard keeping of work and learning arrangements, skills, support) framework can be considered after accounting for the findings of this review within these three models of burnout. First, the selection of appropriate candidates to join the residency is of utmost importance. There is evidence that residents who did not consider psychiatry as their first career choice are at higher risk of burnout.¹⁷ This may include the need for new candidates to have worked in psychiatry rotations prior to their application to join the psychiatry residency program to confirm their interest in the discipline, feedback from their previous supervisors, alongside the use of multiple mini interviews.^{36,37} Second, standard-keeping of work and learning schedules should be ensured. This includes appropriate orientation of new residents, adequate and regular clinical supervision, adherence to duty hour rules such as a limit of 80 hours per week, not working beyond stipulated working hours with adequate rest, attendances of requisite learning and supervision sessions, and tracking the compliance of training sites over time. Both areas would help to reduce the perceived stress and actual workload, especially in residents new to residency or in junior years of training.9 Third, skills-equipping workshops should be incorporated into the learning curriculum. Stress management techniques such as deep breathing, progressive muscle relaxation, a reminder to pace and space out the timetable of study, work, family, and leisure activities to achieve work-life balance can be reinforced. There is preliminary data to suggest that resident-led interventions, including relaxation and resilience training can be useful.³³ This would equip them with adequate skills for self-care and empower the residents to take active steps to look after their personal well-being holistically, which encompasses the physical, psychological and social facets.9,10,12 Fourth, support from the people involved in the program and at work is crucial to the learner in training. This includes peers, senior residents, supervisors, and clinical faculty. A stronger support network would be helpful for all learners irrespective of seniority in training and practice.^{10, 12}

Limitations and Recommendations for Future Studies

There are several limitations in this review. First, participant response rates vary, and participant bias may be present as learners who are suffering from burnout may be less willing to join these studies. Second, the prevalence of burnout can vary according to the learning context, type of burnout scale used, and specific threshold criteria adopted even within the same scale. Third, future studies may want to examine other under-examined correlates of burnout such as stigma related to the discipline, personality factors, concurrent life events and aspects of the learning environment (e.g. perception of role autonomy, social support) which would enrich our understanding and suggest potential avenues of intervention to alleviate burnout in our learners. Fourth, most studies are cross-sectional in design, and longitudinal studies would be warranted to examine the changes in burnout rate and relationship with other demographic factors, training, work, and learner factors over time. Fifth, intervention studies are wanting and would allow evaluation of feasible and effective strategies to prevent or minimise burnout during the course of psychiatry residency training.

In conclusion, this review reveals that the overall prevalence of burnout in psychiatry residents is around 33.7%, and is associated with specific demographic, training, work, and personal factors. There is a dearth of longitudinal studies of burnout and studies examining the impact of interventions to prevent or reduce burnout over time. The main findings of this review, when viewed in the context of theories of burnout such as the stress/conflict, phase and conflict theories, prompted consideration of some practical ways to alleviate burnout amongst psychiatry residents which are relevant for other residency programs. Future studies are thus needed to investigate the effectiveness of different interventions within different training contexts to prevent or ameliorate the onset and impact of burnout.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Dimou FM, Eckelbarger D, Riall TS. Surgeon burnout: a systematic review. J Am Coll Surg. 2016; 222(6): 1230-1239.

2. Dyrbye L, Shanafelt T. A narrative review on burnout experienced by medical students and residents. Med Educ. 2016;50:132-149.

3. Erschens R, Keifenheim KE, Herrmann-Werner A, Loda T, Schwille-Kiuntke J, Bugaj TJ, et al. Professional burnout among medical students: systematic literature review and meta-analysis. Med Teach. 2019;41(2): 172-183. 4. Sanfilippo F, Noto A, Foresta G, Santonocito C, Palumbo GJ, Arcadipane A, et al. Incidence and factors associated with burnout in Anesthesiology: a systematic review. Biomed Res Int. 2017; 2017:8648925.

5. Lee PT, Loh J, Sng G, Tung J, Yeo KK. Empathy and burnout: a study on residents from a Singapore institution. Singapore Med J. 2018;59(1):50-54.

6. Dewa CS, Loong D, Bonato S, Thanh NX, Jacobs P. How does burnout affect physician productivity? A systematic literature review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014;14:325.

7. Bahrer-Kohler S. Mental health in the workplace – burnout and stress at work. Occoquan (VA): World Federation for Mental Health (US); 2017 [cited 27 Oct 2018]; Available from: https://wfmh.global/wp-content/uploads/2017-wmhd-report-english.pdf.

8. ACGME. Physician Well-Being: The ACGME and Beyond. Chicago (IL): Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education; 2018 [cited 27 Oct 2018]; Available from: https://www.acgme.org/Meetings-and-Educational-Activities/Annual-Educational-Conference/Blog/Details/Arti-

cleID/6288/Physician-Well-Being-The-ACGME-and-Beyond.

9. Cherniss C. Staff burnout: job stress in the human services. Vol v.2. Beverly Hills (CA): Sage Publications; 1980.

10. Golembiewski RT, Munzenrider R, Carter D. Phases of progressive burnout and their work site covariants: critical issues in OD research and praxis. The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science. 1983;19: 461-481.

Chan et al. ■ Burnout in psychiatry residents

11. Leiter MP, Maslach C. The impact of interpersonal environment on burnout and organizational commitment. J Organiz Behav. 1988;9(4):297-308.

12. Leiter MP. Burnout as a crisis in self-efficacy: conceptual and practical implications. Work & Stress. 1992;6:107-115.

13. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. PLoS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000100.

14. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6(7): e1000097.

15. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ.2011;343: d5928.

16. Talih F, Warakian R, Ajaltouni J, Shehab AAS, Tamim H. Correlates of depression and burnout among residents in a Lebanese academic medical center: a cross-sectional study. Acad Psychiatry. 2016;40(1):38-45.

17. Jovanović N, Podlesek A, Volpe U, Barrett E, Ferrari S, Rojnic Kuzman M, et al. Burnout syndrome among psychiatric trainees in 22 countries: risk increased by long working hours, lack of supervision, and psychiatry not being first career choice. Eur Psychiatry. 2016;32:34-41.

18. Kealy D, Halli P, Ogrodniczuk JS, Hadjipavlou G. Burnout among Canadian psychiatry residents: a national survey. Can J Psychiatry. 2016; 61(11):732-736.

19. Goldhagen BE, Kingsolver K, Stinnett SS, Rosdahl JA. Stress and burnout in residents: impact of mindfulness-based resilience training. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2015;6:525-532.

20. Prins JT, Hoekstra-Weebers JE, van de Wiel HB, Gazendam-Donofrio SM, Sprangers F, Jaspers Fc, et al. Burnout among Dutch medical residents. Int J Behav Med. 2007; 14(3):119-125.

21. Woodside JR, Miller MN, Floyd MR, McGowen R, Pfortmiller DT. Observations on burnout in family medicine and psychiatry residents. Acad Psychiatry. 2008; 32(1):13-19.

22. Martini S, Cynthia LA, Balon R. Comparison of burnout among medical residents before and after the implementation of work hours limits. Acad Psychiatry. 2006;30:352-355.

23. Martini S, Arfken CL, Churchill A, Balon R. Burnout comparison among residents in different medical specialties. Acad Psychiatry. 2004;28:240-242.

24. Dennis NM, Swartz MS. Emergency psychiatry experience, resident burnout, and future plans to treat publicly funded patients. Psychiatr Serv.

2015; 66(8): 892-895.

25. Moloney J, MacDonald J. Psychiatric training in New Zealand. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2000;34:146-153.

 Ferrari S, Cuoghi G, Mattei G, Carra E, Volpe U, Jovanovic N. Young and burnt? Italian contribution to the international BurnOut Syndrome Study (BOSS) among residents in psychiatry. Med Lav. 2015;106(3):172-185.
 Scarella TM, Nelligan J, Roberts J, Boland RJ. Effect of call organization on burnout and quality of life in psychiatry residents. Asian J Psychiatr. 2017;25:27-30.

28. Domaney NM, Torous J, Greenberg WE. Exploring the association between electronic health record use and burnout among psychiatry residents and faculty: a pilot survey study. Acad Psychiatry. 2018;42(5):648-652.

29. Chaukos D, Chad-Friedman E, Mehta DH, Byerly L, Celik A, McCoy TH Jr, Denninger JW. Risk and resilience factors associated with resident burnout. Acad Psychiatry. 2017; 41(2):189-194.

30. Benson NM, Chaukos D, Vestal H, Chad-Friedman EF, Denninger JW, Borba CPC. A qualitative analysis of stress and relaxation themes contributing to burnout in first-year psychiatry and medicine residents. Acad Psychiatry. 2018; 42(5):630-635.

31. Park C, Lee YJ, Hong M, Jung C-H, Synn Y, Kwack Y-S, et al. A multicenter study investigating empathy and burnout characteristics in medical residents with various specialties. J Korean Med Sci. 2016;31(4):590-597.

32. Bentley PG, Kaplan SG, Mokonogho J. Relational mindfulness for psychiatry residents: a pilot course in empathy development and burnout prevention. Acad Psychiatry. 2018; 42(5):668-673.

33. Chaukos D, Chad-Friedman E, Mehta DH, Byerly L, Celik A, McCoy TH Jr, et al. SMART-R: a prospective cohort study of a resilience curriculum for residents by residents. Acad Psychiatry. 2018;42(1):78-83.

 Afzal KI, Khan FM, Mulla Z, Akins R, Ledger E, Giordano FL. Primary language and cultural background as factors in resident burnout in medical specialties: a study in a bilingual US city. South Med J. 2010;103(7):607-615.
 Brainch N, Schule P, Laurel F, Bodic M, Jacob T. Psychiatric emergency

services—can duty-hour changes help residents and patients? Psychiatr Q. 2018;89(4):771-778.

36. Reiter HI, Eva KW, Rosenfeld J, Norman GR. Multiple mini-interviews predict clerkship and licensing examination performance. Med Educ. 2007;41(4):378-384.

37. Alweis R, Collichio F, Milne CK, Dalal B, Williams CM, Sulistio MS, et al. Guidelines for a Standardized Fellowship Letter of Recommendation. The American Journal of Medicine. 2017;130(5): 606-611.

Appendix 1. Summary of main findings of all included studies in this review

Authors (Year of Publication) Country	Aims	Participant Details	Burnout and Other Scales Used	Prevalence of Burnout	Clinical Associations with Burnout Scores	Risk of bias
Benson et al. (2018) USA	Identify stress inducing situations and stressors, stress-reducing activities that trainees utilize	 <u>Participants</u>: PGY1 medicine and psychiatry residents <u>Participation rate</u>: 60% (51/85) <u>Gender</u>: M = 45% (23/51) <u>Age</u>: 20-29 years = 76.5% (39/51), 30-39 years = 23.5% (12/51) 	 MBI PHQ-9 Electronic stress and relaxation diaries Demographic data 	 Prevalence of burnout = 32% (16/50) 	 Frequently identified themes relat- ing to mental health issues, con- flicts/disagreements in personal lives, rotation-related stressors, ten- dency to downplay stressors 	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Bentley et al. (2018) USA	To pilot an 8-week empa- thy training course based in relational mindfulness and assess the impact on burnout and empathy	 <u>Participants</u>: PGY1 psychiatry residents <u>Participation rate</u>: Nil <u>Gender:</u> M = 71.4% (5/7) <u>Age:</u> Nil 	 Helpful Responses Question- naire (a measure of empathy) MBI-HSS Learning Experiences Questionnaire developed by authors 	 Prevalence of burnout not provided 	Improvement in burnout subscale and empathy scores following the course	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Brainch et al. (2018) USA	Assess the impact of a re- cent scheduling change and decrease in overall duty hours, on resident well-being and burnout, patient wait-time and length of stay in Psychiat- ric Emergency Services	 <u>Participants</u>: Psychiatry residents <u>Participation rate</u>: 84.2% (32/38) <u>Gender</u>: Nil <u>Age</u>: Nil 	1) MBI-GS	 EX: PGY3 > PGY 1 & PGY 4 CY: PGY4 < PGY2 & PGY3 	- Juniors	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Domaney et al. (2018) USA	Generate preliminary data on Electronic Health Rec- ord (EHR) use and burn- out among Psychiatry res- idents and faculty	 <u>Participants</u>: PGY1, 2, 3, and 4_Psychiatry residents and faculty <u>Participation rate</u>: 73% (40/55) <u>Gender</u>: Nil <u>Age</u>: Nil 	 1) MBI 2) Survey of risk factors: Age Gender Clinical service duties Time spent on the EHR Hours of sleep Hours of exercise 	 Prevalence of burnout for: PGY1 (60%) PGY2 (87%) PGY3 (50%) PGY4 (40%) 	 EE: High self-reported stress in the last month, hours spent on the EHR outside of work, total EHR time, hours reviewing EHR notes PA: Stress in the last month, total hours spent on EHR, hours writing EHR notes 	Attrition Bias: Low/Moderate Reporting Bias: Low
Chaukos et al. (2018) USA	Determine the feasibility of a resident-led resiliency curriculum developed by residents, for residents, and its impact on risk and resilience factors	 <u>Participants</u>: PGY1 medicine and psychiatry residents <u>Participation rate</u>: Baseline = 91% (68/75), post-intervention = 41% (31/75) <u>Gender</u>: Nil <u>Age</u>: Nil 	 MBI-HSS PSS PHQ-9 Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy- Fatigue Scale PSWQ 	 Prevalence of burnout at baseline: 39% (12/31) Prevalence of burnout post-intervention: 77% (24/31) 	Resident-led stress management, and resiliency training curriculum is feasi- ble with an expected increase in burn- out scores in the earlier part of the in- ternship	Attrition Bias: Low/Moderate Reporting Bias: Low
			Resilience factors: 1) Revised Life Orientation Test			

			 Self-Efficacy Questionnaire scale Interpersonal Reactivity Index Perspective-Taking subscale The measure of Current Status - Part A Cognitive and Affective Mindfulness Scale 			
Chaukos et al. (2017) USA	To investigate the hypoth- esized risk and resilience factors and their association with a burnout in residents	 <u>Participants</u>: PGY1 medicine and psychiatry residents <u>Participation rate</u>: 80% (68/85) <u>Gender</u>: M = 39.7% (27/68) <u>Age</u>: 25-30 years = 83.8% (57/68), 30-35 years = 16.1% (11/68) 	 MBI PSS PSWQ PHQ-9 Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy Revised Life Orientation Test (LOT-R) Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (SEQS), Cognitive and Affective Mindfulness Scale (CAMS-R), Interpersonal Reactivity Index Perspective-Taking Scale (IRI-PT) The measure of Current Status- Part A (MOCS-A) 	 Overall prevalence of burnout = 27.9% (19/68) Above threshold in both DP and PA subscales = 14.7% (10/68) Above threshold in all 3 subscales = 13.2% (9/68) 	 Levels of mindfulness, coping skills Levels of perceived stress, fatigue, worry, depressive symptoms. 	Attrition Bias: Low/Moderate Reporting Bias: Low
Scarella et al. (2017) USA	To investigate the effects of changing the structure of call on residents' well-being.	 <u>Participants</u>: PGY2 residents who were active in the psychiatry training program <u>Participation rate</u>: Nil <u>Gender</u>: Nil <u>Mean Age</u>: Nil 	MBI	No burnout prevalence provided	Residents on 24-h call vs. night float had increased burnout and quality of life after 1 year	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Tateno et al. (2017) Japan	To investigate burnout rates among psychiatric trainees	 <u>Participants</u>: Psychiatric residents <u>Participation rate</u>: 39.7% (91/227) <u>Gender</u>: M = 67% (61/91) <u>Age</u>: 31.8 +/- 4.8 	 Suicide ideation and behav- iour questionnaire (SIBQ) MBI-GS 	 Prevalence of burnout = 40.0% Mean scores on MBI-GS subclass for Japanese subjects: EX = 3.0 +/- 1.5; CY = 2.3 +/- 1.4; PE = 3.7 +/- 1.1 	Nil	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Yrondi et al. (2017) France	To conduct a multicentre observational study to determine prevalence of burnout among French anaesthesiology residents compared to French psychiatry residents	 <u>Participants</u>: Anaesthesiology and psychiatry residents <u>Participation rate</u>: Nil <u>Gender</u>: Anaesthesiology: M = 55%, Psychiatry: M = 33% <u>Age:</u> Anaesthesiology: M = 28.8 +/- 2.4, Psychiatry: M = 27.7 +/- 2.0 	1) MBI	Psychiatry Residents (level of severity) EE: - Low: 59.5% (88/148) - Moderate: 27.0% (40/148) - High: 13.5% (20/148) DP:	Nil	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low

Iovanovic et al. (2016)	To examine burnout rates	- Participants: Psychiatric trainees from 22	MBI	 Moderate: 31.1% (46/148) High: 18.9% (28/148) PA: Low: 50.7% (75/148) Moderate: 26.4% (39/148) High: 23.0% (34/148) Prevalence of Burnout 	- Younger age	Attrition Bias: Low
International	among psychiatric train- ees, and associated indi- vidual, educational and work-related factors	 countries (Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, France, Greece, Hong Kong, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Slovenia, South, Africa, and the UK <u>Participation rate</u>: 26% (1980/7468) <u>Gender</u>: Males 40.6% (803/1980) <u>Age</u>: 31.9 +/- 5.3 		 Overall burnout prevalence = 36.7% (726/1980), EX: 58.9% (1167/1980); CY: 45.1% (892/1980); PE: 20.2% (414/1980) 	 Absence of children Psychiatry not being a first career choice Longer work hours Lack of clinical supervision Insufficient regular rest (< 11 hours daily) <u>No association</u> Years of completed training 	Reporting Bias: Low
Kealy et al (2016) Canada	To investigate the preva- lence and impact of burn- out among Canadian psychiatry residents	 <u>Participants</u>: Psychiatry residents in Canada <u>Participation rate</u>: 48% (400/833) <u>Gender</u>: M = 69.3% (277/400) <u>Age</u>: ≤ 30 years = 53.1% (212/400), 31-35 years = 35.3% (141/400), ≥ 36 years = 11.8% (47/400) 	43-item questionnaire including 1 item measuring levels of burnout symptoms (instrument highly corre- lated with MBI)	- Overall prevalence of burnout = 21% (84/400)	 PGY2 of training Psychotherapy usage during residency Reduced empathic functioning, Reduced seeking of help from supervisor regarding stressful patient encounters, Engagement of unhealthy coping mechanisms <u>No association</u> Age Gender Location of the residency program 	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Park et al. (2016) South Korea	Assess empathy in medi- cal residents, including factors modifying empa- thy and the relationship between empathy and burnout	 <u>Participants:</u> Medical residents from 4 university hospitals <u>Participation rate:</u> 42.2% (317/751) <u>Gender:</u> M = 67.5% (214/317) <u>Age:</u> 30.44 +/- 2.98 	 Demographic data Jefferson Scale of Empathy MBI 	 No burnout prevalence provided 	- Decreased empathic capacity	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Talih et al. (2016) Lebanon	To investigate the preva- lence of depressive symp- toms, burnout, and sui- cidal ideation among residents	 <u>Participants:</u> Residents and Interns from 20 specialities including Psychiatry <u>Participation rate</u>: 39% (118/300) <u>Gender</u>: Males 52.5% (63/118) <u>Age</u>: NA 	 Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) Burnout measure (BM) Generalised anxiety disorder-7 (GAD-7) scale 	- Overall burnout preva- lence = 27% (32/118)	 Older age Experienced stressful personal life events over the past 12 months Depressive and anxiety symptoms Suicidal ideation 	Attrition Bias: Low/Moderate Reporting Bias: Low

Chan *et al.* ■ Burnout in psychiatry residents

			 Alcohol use disorder identifica- tion test (AUDIT) Drug abuse screening test (DAST-10) 		 Self-prescribed psychotropic medications (Present/past) Current or previous use of mental health services 	
Dennis et al. (2015) USA	To investigate psychiatry resident burnout in emer- gency departments and its association with post- training publicly insured patients	 <u>Participants</u>: Psychiatry residents in four residency programs in North Carolina <u>Participation rate</u>: 51.4% (91/177) <u>Gender</u>: Males 40.7% (37/91) <u>Age</u>: 30 or above 31.9% (29/91), <30, 68.1% (62/91) 	An online survey containing 2 two items abstracted from MBI to assess burnout	 No burnout prevalence provided 	 Fair/poor perceived quality of provided care Lower perceived quality of supervision. Less likelihood to treat publicly insured patients after training. <u>Associations with Burnout subscales</u> US Graduates associated with feelings of depersonalisation vs IMG Residents overwhelmed by patient care responsibilities (at least once per ED shift) have higher EE 	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
					<u>No Association</u> - Year of residency - Time since last emergency psychiatric rotation	
Ferrari et al. (2015) Italy	An international multi- centre research project to estimate the burden of BS among residents in psy- chiatry, and identify fac- tors contributing to its de- velopment and prevention	 <u>Participants</u>: Psychiatry residents from Italy <u>Participation rate</u>: 60% (108/180) <u>Gender</u>: M = 20% (21/108), 80% (86/108) <u>Age</u>: 30.5 +/- 3.7 	 Demographic data MBI-GS Areas of Worklife Survey (AWLS) PHQ-9 Suicide Ideation and Behaviour Questionnaire Big-Five Inventory-10 Questionnaire on working conditions Description of activities outside work 	 PE: Moderate burn- out: 36% (39/108) Severe burnout: 20% (21/108) 	 <u>EE & C associated with:</u> AWLS Workload Control Reward Working group Fairness PHQ-9 <u>PE associated with:</u> Number of papers published <u>EE associated with:</u> Perceived wage adequacy 	Attrition Bias: Low/Moderate Reporting Bias: Low
Goldhagen et al. (2015) USA	To investigate the hypoth- esis that a mindfulness- based resilience interven- tion would decrease stress and burnout in residents.	 <u>Participants</u>: Residents from 3 specialities (Family Medicine, Psychiatry, and Anaesthe- sia) <u>Participation rate</u>: 43% (47/109); Anaesthesi- ology = 49% (20/41); Family medicine = 75% (9/12); Psychiatry = 32% (18/56) <u>Gender</u>: M = 46.8% (22/47) <u>Age</u>: 20-29 years = 53.2% (25/47); 30-39 years = 46.8% (22/47) <u>2-3</u> one-hour sessions 	 60 item survey including questions from: Depression-Anxiety-Stress Scale, 21-question version (DASS-21) Oldenburg Burnout Inventory (OLBI), Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS) Cognitive Failures Questionnaire (CFQ) Perceived level of stress during residency 	 No burnout prevalence provided Impact of intervention not significant just after intervention but showed lower burnout scores at 1 month follow up 	 Females Higher perceived levels of stress 	Attrition Bias: Low/Moderate Reporting Bias: Low

Afzal et al. (2010) USA	To investigate the preva- lence of burnout among resident physicians and its associations	 <u>Participants:</u> Residents of 7 different specialities (Emergency medicine, family practice, general surgery, internal medicine, psychiatry, obstetrics/ gynaecology, and paediatrics) <u>Participation rate</u>: 70% (115/166) <u>Gender</u>: Males 58.3 % (67/115) <u>Age</u>: ≥ 36years = 38.3% (44/115), ≤ 35 years = 61.7% (71/115) 	Questionnaire includingDemographic information22 questions from MBI	 Overall prevalence of burnout (high EE & DP, low PA scores) = 33% (38/115) High burnout subscores: High EE = 34% (39/115); High DP = 30% (34/115); Low PA = 24% (28/115) 	 OB/GYN and PSY residents had high EE subscores IM residents had lower EE and PA levels as compared to other special- ities OB/GYN, EM and GS residents had high DP subscores. High EE, DP and PA subscores associated with whites, primarily English-speaking and US /Canada raised residents vs. IMGs 	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Woodside et al. (2008) USA	To investigate the rela- tionship between burn- out, work environment	 <u>Participants</u>: Residents in family medicine and psychiatry <u>Participation rate</u>: 56.0% (155/277) <u>Gender</u>: Males 57.5% (77/134) <u>Age</u>: 35 +/- 7.5 	Questionnaire including: Demographic information MBI Work Environment Scale, Real Form Acculturation status	- No burnout prevalence provided	 Males Parental status protective Acculturation status Speciality (Family Medicine >Psy- chiatry) Younger age <u>No association</u> 	Attrition Bias: Low/Moderate Reporting Bias: Low
					- Marital status	
Prins et al. (2007) Netherlands	To investigate the preva- lence of burnout among Dutch medical residents	 6) <u>Participants</u>: Medical residents from 18 different specialties including Psychiatry 7) <u>Participation rate</u>: 54.1% (158/292) 8) <u>Gender</u>: Males 48.1% (76/158) 9) <u>Age</u>: Average age 31.9, (SD = 3.2) 	Utrecht Burn-Out Scale (UBOS- C/MBI-HHS)	 Overall burnout prevalence = 13% (21/158), highest in psychiatry residents Severe burnout = 4.4% (7/158) 	 Younger age Junior years of training Males Speciality: O&G had significantly higher mean scores of PA than PSY, Anaesthesiology, and IM 	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Martini et al. (2006) USA	To investigate the imple- mentation of work hour limits and its impact of lowering the prevalence of resident burnout	 <u>Participants</u>: Residents and interns in 6 speci- alities (General surgery, Internal medicine, Family Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Paediatrics, Psychiatry) in all years of training 	MBI	Residents from all years of training - Pre and post work hour limitations (41% →49%)	 Longer Work hours Lower satisfaction with clinical faculty Lower mood 	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
		 Participation rate: 118/384 (31%) Gender: NA Mean Age: NA 		 First-year residents Pre-work hour limitations are (77% →43%) 	<u>No Association</u> - Number of nights on call - Marital status - Gender - Presence of children - Recent family stress - Country of training	

Chan *et al.* ■ Burnout in psychiatry residents

Martini et al. (2004) USA	To investigate resident burnout in relation to work and home-related factors	 <u>Participants</u>: Residents and interns in 8 specialties (Dermatology, General Surgery, Internal Medicine, Family Medicine, Neurology, Obstetrics/Gynaecology, Opthalmology, and Psychiatry) <u>Participation rate</u>: 110/321 (35%) <u>Gender</u>: NA <u>Mean age</u>: NA 	MBI Questionnaire:	 Overall burnout prevalence: 50% Rates in: First-year residents (77.3%) vs. the second year and above (41.8%) >80 hours per week (56.7%) vs. ≤80 hours per week (43.5%) Dissatisfied with clinical faculty (65%) vs. satisfied (40.6%) Recent family stress (58.6%) vs. no report of recent stress (38.8%) Married (40%) vs. single/divorced/other (65.2%) ≥1 child (36.4%) vs. no children (56.5%) Relocated >50 miles (71.4%) vs. did not relocate >50 miles (45.7%) 	 Higher rates among residents who were first-year residents, dissatis-fied with clinical faculty, unmarried, and experiencing a family-related stress No association between burnout and having a child or relocating 	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low
Moloney et al (2000) New Zealand	To identify factors that affect failure to complete training	 <u>Participants:</u> Sample A (Current trainees, All psychiatric trainees in New Zealand); Sample B (Ex-trainees, All those who had left training during the preceding 5 years with- out completing the training program) <u>Participation rate</u>: Overall 81.9% (127/155); Sample A: 87.6% (99/113); Sample B: 66.7% (28/42) <u>Gender</u>: Overall Males 53.5% (68/127) <u>Age:</u> NA 	83-item Questionnaire including MBI	 No burnout prevalence provided Current psychiatric train- ees scored significantly higher on each subscale of the MBI. 	- Discontinuation of training	Attrition Bias: Low Reporting Bias: Low

Abbreviations: CY, cynicism dimension of burnout; DP, depersonalisation dimension of burnout; EE, emotional exhaustion dimension of burnout; EX, Exhaustion; MBI = Maslach Burnout Inventory; MBI-GS, Maslach Burnout Inventory; MBI-HSS, Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey; PA, personal accomplishment; PE, professional efficacy; PGY, Post-graduate Year; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire 9; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; PSWQ, Penn State Worry Questionnaire