Appendix 1

Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist¹⁸

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	
ABSTRACT			
		Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background,	
Structured summary	2	objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results,	
		and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	
INTRODUCTION			
		Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already	
Rationale	3	known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a	
		scoping review approach.	
		Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being	
Objectives	4	addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or partici-	
Objectives	4	pants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to	
		conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	
METHODS			
		Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be	
Protocol and registration	5	accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration	
		information, including the registration number.	
		Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria	
Eligibility criteria	6	(e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a	
		rationale.	
		Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of	
Information sources	7	coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as	
		the date the most recent search was executed.	
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database, including	Appendix 2
Scarcii		any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	Appendix 2
Selection of sources of	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and	
evidence	,	eligibility) included in the scoping review.	
		Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of	
		evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team	
Data charting process	10	before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in	
	duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data fro	duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from	
		investigators.	
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assump-	Appendix 3
Data items	11	tions and simplifications made.	пррения 3
Critical appraisal of individual		If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included	_
sources of evidence	12	sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information	Appendix 5
sources of evidence		was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were	
Synthesis of results		charted.	
RESULTS			
Selection of sources of		Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and	
evidence	14	included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally	Figure 1
		using a flow diagram.	
Characteristics of sources of	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were	Table 1
evidence		charted and provide the citations.	
Critical appraisal within sources	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence	Appendix 5
of evidence	10	(see item 12).	
Results of individual sources of	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were	Figure 3
evidence	1/	charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	Appendix 4
		Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review	Table 1
Synthesis of results	18	questions and objectives.	Figures 2 and
		1	Appendix 4

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-SCR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence		Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes,	
	19	and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives,	
		and consider the relevance to key groups.	
Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	
Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review	
	21	questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	
FUNDING			
Funding		Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as	
	22	sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders	
		of the scoping review.	