Virtual patient cases: a qualitative study of the requirements and perceptions of authors
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Abstract

Objectives: To explore the self-defined knowledge requirements of medical educators who had authored virtual patient cases, to examine their perceptions about the actual authoring or development process, and to then compare these responses with available literature on this subject.

Methods: Qualitative data from semi-structured interviews were analysed using an adaptation of constant-comparative methodology. A purposive sample of eight medical educators with expertise in authoring virtual patient cases participated in this study.

Results: Analysis of data revealed five themes: (a) The role of virtual patient cases within medical curricula; (b) The role of curricular revision; (c) The learner; (d) The educator; and (e) Outstanding issues. Case design specifications were also described. Participants emphasized that a comprehensive picture of a virtual patient case-based curriculum did not yet exist.

Conclusions: Data from this study defined specific areas of relevance for virtual patient cases creation from the perspective of expert virtual patient case authors. The results demonstrated a general congruence between available academic theory as reported in the literature and the practical realities of virtual patient case authoring. However, specific issues that have not yet been addressed in the literature were also emphasized by the participants and these findings can be used to support medical educators in their development of new virtual patient cases as well as provide direction for research and publications in the this field.
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Introduction

This study explored the requirements and perceptions of a purposive sample of eight medical educators who had authored virtual patient cases. Their cases were authored in their individual medical schools and were part of their core curricular teaching commitments. Each participant was considered to have expertise in virtual patient case authoring. Expertise was determined by authorship of a minimum of two virtual patient cases, leadership in the creation of working groups or interest groups that supported the development and utilization of these cases within medical curricula, and academic appointments in their schools in the field of medical informatics with a focus on the use of virtual patient cases.

During the interview process, participants’ views about virtual patient case design were also sought. The themes that arose from these data were then compared to current literature on virtual patient case authoring.

The resulting data explicitly identified pertinent issues and challenges faced by the study respondents. The research data can be used to support a better understanding of the challenges faced by medical faculty, many of whom are now increasingly responsible for the development of virtual patient cases. As well, these data will help to determine whether the literature that is currently available pertinent to virtual patient case authoring adequately addressed the requirements of faculty. Identification of issues as yet unaddressed in the literature provides opportunities for future authors interested in contributing to this field; and helps determine directions for potential research and publications in support of new virtual patient case authors.
Definition and rationalization for virtual patient cases

Virtual patient cases are “interactive computer simulations of real-life clinical scenarios for the purpose of medical training, education or assessment”\(^1\). Virtual patient cases have been described as able to provide realistic nonthreatening clinical scenarios for learning and assessment,\(^1\) effectively support knowledge transfer,\(^2\) and present case-based opportunities for problem-based inquiry learning. They are recognized by oversight organizations such as the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME) Accreditation Standards,\(^3,4,5\) and are increasingly evident, and progressively more embedded, within core undergraduate medical curricula.\(^5\)

Available literature on virtual patient cases

Discussion papers and descriptive reviews have rationalized support for virtual patient cases as able to (a) provide learners with necessary diverse, and critical, clinical experiences,\(^6,7\) (b) support exponential increases in medical domain knowledge,\(^8\) (c) address decreased faculty teaching time,\(^9\) (d) assist schools with distributed campuses where curricula must be accessible to students in geographically disparate areas,\(^10\) and (e) recognize expectations of digital learners, comfortable with technology.

This same literature is generally optimistic about the value and utility of these cases, noting “compelling instructional capabilities.”\(^9\) Authors have suggested that virtual patient cases provided learners with standardized and comprehensive scenarios; supported clinical pattern recognition through deliberate practice; deliver continuous feedback, and formative and summative assessment,\(^10,11\) permitted clinical analysis, decision-making, hypothesis generation and treatment planning,\(^12,13\) allowed learners to actively “focus on a standard set of educational competencies”\(^14\) and modelled therapeutic communication strategies used by experts.\(^15\) Within Simulation Centers, when sequenced and blended with standardized patients and physical simulators, they provided contextually relevant models for clinical management.\(^16\)

Fewer empirical studies are available. These have demonstrated effects on knowledge transfer, acquisition, and application.\(^5,17,18\) Meta-analyses of efficacy and pedagogical impact have revealed positive effects on learning.\(^5,17,18\) Most recently, a randomized controlled study found that modules similar to linear virtual patient cases, when integrated with Simulation Center activities, had a significantly positive impact on actual patient clinical outcomes.\(^19\)

No research exists on the individual requirements and perceptions of medical educators responsible for authoring or integrating virtual patients within their teaching practice. No research has attempted to determine whether the available literature on virtual patient cases meets the self-defined learning needs or requirements of medical educators interested in authoring these cases. Two research questions were addressed:

1. How do you personally author virtual patient cases and can you identify issues that you perceive as relevant to your experiences with virtual patient case authoring and design?
2. How are virtual patient cases currently developed and used in your school?

Methods

A qualitative, exploratory case research design was chosen for this study based on the study’s congruency with characteristics described by Yin.\(^20\) The study asked medical educators to describe their individual requirements and perceptions about authoring virtual patient cases and to define their case design specifications. Institutional review board approval from McGill University was obtained prior to initiation of the study.

Study design

Individual semi-structured interviews were conducted with a purposive sample of eight medical educators. The interviews ranged in length from one to three hours. This number is considered adequate for a multiple-case study.\(^21\) Interview questions were developed by the researchers. Interviews were audiotaped and then independently transcribed.

Sample

Selected participants were determined to have expertise in virtual patient case authoring. All had authored virtual patient cases and were supportive of their use in medical education. At the time of the study none had a peer-reviewed publication on virtual patient cases. Contributions by participants to the literature subsequent to their interviews have not been included in this assessment. Participants were geographically located in Canada and the northeastern United States. They represented six medical schools, three in Canada and three in the United States. All of the participants were medical faculty with a minimum of eight years as academic teaching staff. All study participants signed consents and anonymity was assured. The participants were identified in the research through unique identifiers.

Data collection

The research was conducted in a natural setting and data collection, in the form of semi-structured interviews, took place in the field, in this case, in the participant’s school, in either the office or the laboratory of each participant. Interviews lasted between one and three hours. The researcher entered the world of the participant and did not purposefully manipulate or alter the context.

Data analysis

Analysis of data was inductive, iterative and interactive. Hypotheses were not established a priori but rather emerged from the data. The researchers were the primary data
collection instruments, and interacted with each participant individually. The research focused on the participants’ perceptions, expertise, and experiences. Realities were constructed by the research participants and the researcher reported on these realities and relied on the participant’s voices and interpretations of virtual patient case authoring. An adaptation of constant comparative methodology was used. This adapted methodology allowed themes to be noted even if they were common only to a subset of the participants. Data were compared and contrasted and then constructed into themes. Development of themes required repeated reviews of the transcripts. During the initial coarse-grained phase, the circumstances of the interview and the actual words of the participant were examined as transcribed. Data were then placed in distinct units of analysis and decontextualized. This process required close readings and rereadings of the material, reflection, and the development of an iterative series of transcript documentations. It permitted the researchers to make comparisons across individual participants’ associations among participants’ responses, and assignments of codes. In parallel, rules of inclusion were developed by the researchers to determine specific requirements that needed to be fulfilled for data categorization to occur. These rules provided a system for classifying the material, and allowed the researchers to decide whether or not a portion of data fit a certain category. They were based on what was contained in the data, not on researcher abstractions and continued until the categories were saturated. In this way, unanticipated themes emerged.

In summary data analysis was based on an adaptation of constant-comparative methodology. It was inductive, iterative, and emergent, and led to participant-defined themes and subthemes. Themes were then compared to the existing literature. Analysis was done by the authors manually.

After a thematic analysis of the participants’ responses, the investigators then compared the relationship between these responses with literature currently available on virtual patient cases and virtual patient case authoring.

Results

Virtual patient case development and utilization

Five themes emerged, three of which were associated with either one or several subthemes. The themes and subthemes that emerged from the Participant data were:

1. The role of virtual patients in medical curricula; subthemes included (a) their use in individual or group settings, (b) their ability to “prime the pump,” and (c) addressing gaps in the matrix of programs due to the loss of the hospital as a clinical laboratory.

2. Curricular revision

3. The learner; subthemes (a) the 21st century learner, (b) the student’s hunger for information and integration into the care team, (c) the ability of virtual patient cases to allow learners to view a patient care trajectory from a longitudinal perspective, and (d) integrating humanity and physicianship.

4. The educator

5. Outstanding issues; subthemes (a) a lack of virtual patient case authoring guidelines, (b) the need for evidence and research, (c) nomenclature, and (d) self-reflection as an unexpected consequence of virtual patient case-authoring.

Each theme and subtheme is related, where possible, to the current literature on virtual patients.

The role of virtual patient cases in undergraduate medical curricula

a) Individual or group settings: participants were mixed in their consideration about whether virtual patient cases were best used individually or in small groups; four supported their use in small group settings, while the others remained uncertain. This lack of clarity could be indicative of a dearth of research and thus evidence about the efficacy of different settings. No mention was made of the use of these cases in whole class teaching.

b) Prime the pump: five of the eight participants suggested virtual patient cases in small groups, however, there is support for singular use, most particularly within the context of deliberate practice and the development of individualized competencies.

c) Addressing gaps in the matrix of our programs due to the loss of the hospital as a clinical laboratory: study participants agreed that there are insufficient numbers of inpatients to adequately support medical student learning. Seven of the eight participants stated that virtual patient cases permitted learners’ access to necessary but sometimes unavailable clinical situations. Participant 7 remembered when “patients were admitted because they were good teaching cases” and compared this
to current "patient-turnover pressure". Another participant noted that virtual patients "guarantee that all students experience core mandated clinical scenarios, supplement those that were missed, and address the gaps in the matrix of our programs, ensuring necessary competencies for accreditation" (Participant 4). Participant 8 suggested that virtual patient cases "allowed students to experience variable presentations of cases and specified domains such as surgery, paediatrics, medicine, obstetrics, and family medicine that would benefit from their inclusion. The literature has addressed the impact of the lack of inpatients as a teaching resource, the utilization of virtual patients to address knowledge gaps, to ensure more comprehensive learning experiences, to support development of core competencies, to provide otherwise unavailable clinical experiences and to guarantee availability of standardized material."

Curricular revision

Inclusion of virtual patient cases within on going curricular revision was addressed by study participants. All of the participants felt that new curricula should include virtual patients, and highlighted their utility in distributed learning environments as well as their ability to meet learners' requirements for asynchronous as well as synchronous online learning. This finding is not surprising as participants were chosen for their interest in virtual patient cases.

The literature highlighted the role of virtual patients in medical curricula, and emphasized their potential for "termless" learning, the evolution of informatics-based education, inclusive of virtual patients and the utility of virtual patient cases in core undergraduate medical curricula.

The learners

Six of the study respondents described medical students as expert learners, but as "adolescent rather than adult learners, younger than they were ten years ago" (Participant 7). Three study participants emphasized the need to support professional socialization and as well as domain learning. Interestingly, comfort and supposed proficiency with new technologies were not specifically addressed.

The literature has suggested that the 21st century learner is more technologically adept, has expectations of the accessibility of online material and resources, and uses and presumes that comprehensive and effective technologies are available.

a) The student's hunger for information and integration into the care team: five of eight study participants highlighted their students' hunger for information and their need to be more fully integrated into the healthcare team. They noted "students struggle to find pertinent information and direction during their preclerkship learning" (Participant 2) and described learner frustration associated with advances in medical technology.

One participant suggested that laparoscopic surgeries had resulted in a less active participation, at a very junior level, in operative procedures. He stated that "since cholecystectomy is now done using a laparoscope, the students only see the procedure on a video screen and are less interested in going to the operating room" (Participant 4). This was not an issue addressed in the literature, although, in retrospect, it is an understandable finding.

b) The ability to view a patient care trajectory from a longitudinal perspective: similarly, study participants noted the frustration of students who were unable to follow patients throughout a complete trajectory of longitudinal care. Participants indicated that fewer inpatients and shorter stays had a direct impact on learners' perspectives of a comprehensive trajectory of care. They described a "disconnect" (Participant 4) between learners and patients and worried that this might disenfranchise the medical learner from the patient and impact on affective or emotional components of learning patient care. Respondents stated that "students do not see a natural clinical course" (Participant 2) and felt that virtual patients might permit students to follow patients for "virtual years, learning long-term care management and multisystem care" (Participant 4) suggesting that "these cases can simulate temporal changes in disease as it evolves" (Participant 5). The potential to demonstrate a longitudinal trajectory of care to students was not previously identified in the literature, but highlights a benefit of virtual patient case representations, specifically those that address long term or chronic diseases, or conditions that are longitudinal by their nature.

c) Integrating humanism in practice: five participants agreed that the practice of patient-centred humanistic approaches to care was essential for professional medical socialization. They were concerned that virtual patient cases could potentially divorce students from encounters with real patients, and emphasized that they should be used as adjunctive support, where and when, necessary to "provide relevance and ensure the story of the patient rather than the disease is apparent" (Participant 7).

This perspective is supported by Brawer who wrote about the importance of developing physicians who, in addition to being scientists, also address the humanistic responsibilities and requirements of their profession. It is also addressed in research that used virtual patients to emphasize empathy rather than problem-solving and the generation of differential diagnoses.

The educator

Four of the study participants highlighted the lack of clear mandates or support for medical educators interested in developing virtual patient cases. One study participant...
stated "we tend to forget that teachers have been to thousands of lectures, but haven’t seen even a handful of virtual patients" (Participant 8) and another noted that "writing cases is hard, it is time consuming and difficult" (Participant 1). All of the study participants recommended an increased role on the part of faculty development. Responses indicated that specific areas for support were related to pedagogy and case authoring. The technology was not highlighted as an issue by any of the respondents.

This lack of support for educators interested in informatics-based modalities such as virtual patients and the potential role of faculty develop to support effective case development was anticipated in the literature.25, 26, 30, 34-36

Outstanding Issues

Several outstanding issues were discussed by the study participants.

a) Lack of authoring guidelines and support for medical educators interested in virtual patient case authoring: five of eight study participants highlighted the lack of guidelines, standards, and standardized processes for virtual patient case development. Respondents noted that guidelines were not readily accessible, and, when available, not comprehensive. These comments are supported in the literature2,4,12,22,37 although a recent study38 highlighted the efficacy of two specific virtual patient case-authoring methodologies.39,40 Thus the extent to which the existing literature can inform virtual patient case authoring may be consistent with virtual patient case authors needs, but insufficient.

b) The need for evidence and research: all eight study respondents worked in highly academic environments and noted the negative impact of a lack of research, evidence, and peer-reviews with respect to virtual patient case utilization. Participants identified a need for "research to accurately describe the efficacy for different virtual patient design approaches" (Participant 1) and "peer-review of cases" (Participant 4). The dearth of research in this field and resulting lack of evidence-based direction have been noted.41-43 Thus the extent to which the existing literature can inform virtual patient case authoring may be consistent with virtual patient case authors needs, but insufficient.

c) Nomenclature: five participants remarked that there were no clear criteria to describe virtual patients. Participants felt that "people would argue as to what is a true virtual patient case," (Participant 3) and noted that "we need to continue to define and scope the taxonomy of virtual patients," (Participant 8) and "develop more precise vocabularies so that we can do research" (Participant 5). This lack of clarity was identified in the literature.

d) Self-reflection as an unexpected consequence of case-authoring: four of eight study participants highlighted that authoring virtual patient cases had led them to reflect on their own personal journeys as medical educators. Participant 4 stated that "you are putting yourself out there and you could be judged." This was particularly true as publication of virtual patient cases does not require the rigorous peer review associated with journal publications. The impact of case-authoring on self-reflection was unanticipated and no studies on the subject have been reported.

Virtual patient case design

Participants suggested that case design be addressed prior to case authoring and include (a) a storyboard, (b) determination of content, described as a "good story" (Participant 4) and purpose, (c) alignment of complexity with the level of the learners, learners’ expectations, and measurable goals and objectives, (d) exploration of how active learning and interactivity could be integrated within the case, and (e) the need to include formative as well as summative assessment and feedback within the body of the case. Very few of these elements had been previously identified in the literature.

a) Storyboarding: participant 3 noted that "when storyboarding was not used the instructional design was not good". Participant 1 described the process as: "I organized the important elements in a concept map. Then we set up a schema and that was the storyboard". Content and the importance of the story: study participants stressed the importance of a story to help learners "remember and integrate information" (Participant 7). They suggested that authors "start with a real patient to give the case a logical coherence and richness that cannot be invented" (Participant 8). Respondents recommended that authors use naturalistic language and inclusion of the patient’s voice.

b) Aligning the case complexity with the level of the learner: all eight respondents emphasized that authors must identify the level of the learner, learner expectations, and determine associated measurable, specific, and realistic goals, objectives, case complexity, and purpose. Respondents suggested that case authors “think who the target audience is" (Participant 4) as well as "who is going to teach it, where, and how" (Participant 5).

c) Case design and repurposing: seven of eight study participants recommended building cases to permit repurposing and noted that the ability to repurposed cases for other target learners ensured a more efficient use of resources. One participant suggested that using this approach so that "you can modify existing content and adjust it for different learners" (Participant 5).

d) Integrating assessment and feedback: four of the study participants highlighted the importance of integrating
had been raised by several authors, 25, 26, 30, 34, 35, 36, 39 as had the empirical research. Lack of support for faculty development efforts, a lack of authoring guidelines, and a dearth of from faculty development services, recognition of their authoring. These included the need for greater support for faculty development services, recognition of their efforts, a lack of authoring guidelines, and a dearth of empirical research. Lack of support for faculty development had been raised by several authors, 25, 26, 30, 34, 35, 36, 39 as had the need for greater recognition for faculty involved in informatics-based initiatives, 7,12,13,17 for case-authoring guidelines 10 and for more research. 41-43 Study Participants also underscored three items not addressed in the literature: the value of longitudinal cases, the students’ hunger to feel more interactivity in their cases. They suggested that virtual patient cases enhance interactive learning and provide opportunities for active decision making. Participants noted that “the students have to make a decision and then deal with the consequences” (Participant 5) and case design should include “multiple points where the learner can reflect on their clinical reasoning processes and form a differential diagnosis” (Participant 6).

The association of interactivity with cognitive theories specifically the Animation and Interactivity Principles 48 the Guided Discovery Principle 49 and work on the use of multimedia in medical education 50 was not mentioned by the study Participants.

Discussion
The first research question examined participants’ general perceptions about virtual patient cases. A review of participants’ data confirmed that items emphasized by the study participants were available in the literature and that this literature was relevant, accurately represented the learning requirements and experiences of the medical educators who participated in the study, and, demonstrated a clear relationship between current academic theory and the practical realities of medical teaching.

Respondents generally agreed with the potential of virtual patient cases as identified in the literature, 7,8,10,12,22,29 as well as with suggestions that a paradigm shift to a more blended approach might be necessary. 5,8,10,11,12,22,24,26,31 However, they also emphasized that a comprehensive picture of a virtual patient case-based curriculum had yet to be developed. They also identified barriers to virtual patient case-authoring. These included the need for greater support from faculty development services, recognition of their efforts, a lack of authoring guidelines, and a dearth of empirical research. Lack of support for faculty development had been raised by several authors, 25, 26, 30, 34, 35, 36, 39 as had the need for greater recognition for faculty involved in informatics-based initiatives, 7,12,13,17 for case-authoring guidelines 10 and for more research. 41-43 Study Participants also underscored three items not addressed in the literature: the value of longitudinal cases, the students’ hunger to feel more interactivity in their cases. They suggested that virtual patient cases enhance interactive learning and provide opportunities for active decision making. Participants noted that “the students have to make a decision and then deal with the consequences” (Participant 5) and case design should include “multiple points where the learner can reflect on their clinical reasoning processes and form a differential diagnosis” (Participant 6).

The importance of continuous feedback and formative assessment is integral to literature on pedagogy. 9, 11 The association of interactivity with cognitive theories specifically the Animation and Interactivity Principles 48 the Guided Discovery Principle 49 and work on the use of multimedia in medical education 50 was not mentioned by the study Participants.

The literature is consistent with their requirements, but it is not yet sufficient to meet them. This study points to the information that remains missing.

This study demonstrated alignment among the descriptive and prescriptive literature on virtual patient cases, the small number of empirical studies that exist to date, and educators who authored virtual patient cases. It revealed new insights that have not been previously been addressed in the literature. This study contributes toward a research-supported model for the authoring, design and use of virtual patient cases in medical education.

Limitations of the study
As well as purposive, the sample size of eight was small, although congruent with the literature for this type of study design. Including more participants might have permitted more extensive perspectives, but convergence was high in the responses. Interviews and activities with faculty less interested in this field, or who were new to the potential and the technology, might have yielded different results, but would likely not have yielded the subtle insights obtained from this sample. Working with less expert samples is a worthy concern of future research, but does not diminish the importance of the information gleaned in this instance. Additional probes could have been added to the questions for the semi-structured interviews. Data related to specific resistances encountered by the participants, personal experiences of unintended negative outcomes, and attempts by participants to measure the impact of their virtual patient cases, may have resulted in a richer discourse.

The study might have benefited from broader geographic diversity. Academically, all of the participants were associated with large and well-known medical schools. Further research might investigate whether smaller schools, with fewer educators and students, faced similar challenges. All of the involved medical schools were well established. Newer schools may prove to be more accepting of innovative technologies. The study was confined to medical educators and the use of virtual patient cases in medical education. Research on the use of virtual patient cases in other healthcare professions or within an interdisciplinary paradigm would serve to further this work.
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