ISSN: 2042-6372 DOI: 10.5116/ijme.582f.4d3b

Impact of a 20-year collaborative approach to increasing the production of rural doctors in Thailand

Achara Nithiapinyasakul¹, Rajin Arora¹, Parinya Chamnan²

¹Collaborative Project to Increase Production of Rural Doctor, Ministry of Public Health, Nonthaburi, Thailand ²Medical Education Center, Sanpasitthirasong Hospital, Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand

Correspondence: Achara Nithiapinyasakul, Collaborative Project to Increase Production of Rural Doctor, Ministry of Public Health, Tivanond Road, Nonthaburi 11000, Thailand. Email: achara@cpird.in.th

Accepted: November 18, 2016

Introduction

Shortage and maldistribution of the health workforce has remained an important concern for health systems in many countries.1-3 In Thailand, different government interventions and efforts mostly through increasing production from major medical schools were successively put in place over the second half of 20th century mainly to address rural-urban uneven distribution and internal brain drain.4 Albeit such development, Thailand's doctor shortage remained critical, with an approximate doctor density of 0.3 per 1,000 population in 1994⁵ and 865 medical graduates produced in that year.4 Since 1994, two new governmentfunded projects primarily aimed to increase the production of rural doctors, called the Collaborative Project to Increase Production of Rural Doctor (CPIRD) and One District One Doctor (ODOD) program, have been employed through collaboration between the Ministry of Education and the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH). This paper describes the establishment, strategies used and the impact of the two special projects on production of doctors in rural areas.

Thailand's conventional medical training

A conventional recruitment and training of medical students in Thailand, referred to as 'a normal track', has solely been operated by the Ministry of Education. 4,6 Secondary school students are recruited to one of 19 medical schools based on their academic merits from the national entrance examination. Medical students under the normal track take a conventional 6-year long course of three preclinical followed by three clinical years. All students are required to pass medical school's comprehensive examination and the national license examination to obtain their medical license. Normal track graduates are subjected to 3-year compulsory service, with 11,300 USD fine imposed for noncompliance. 6,7 Their workplace is based on individuals' choice provided each year's vacancy availability.

Establishment of CPIRD and ODOD

In order to further address the critical shortage of doctors, particularly in the remote areas of Thailand, two new government-funded initiatives called the 'CPIRD' and 'ODOD' projects were established in 1994 and 2005 respectively. They started as collaboration between the MOPH and the Ministry of Education with primary aim to develop infrastructure and strategies to increase the production of doctors who were to work for MOPH hospitals, which serves the large majority of a Thai population.⁸ A total of 14 universities are responsible for teaching pre-clinical subjects in partnership with 37 accredited MOPH hospitals nationwide teaching clinical subjects under their medical education centers.⁸

Medical students under the CPIRD are recruited from their rural domiciles, although they are mainly students from secondary schools in the provincial areas. The ODOD program extend student recruitment to those from more targeted rural and remote areas, with full government scholarship given to pursue 6-year medical training.9 Similar to those in the normal track, CPIRD/ODOD students must pass the comprehensive examination and the national license examination in order to obtain their medical license. Regulations on job placement, duration of mandatory service and non-adherence penalty obligation are applied. CPIRD medical graduates are subjected to 3year mandatory service in MOPH hospitals, with substantial penalty of 11,300 USD imposed for non-adherence. Medical graduates under the ODOD program have pre-specified job placement in their home districts and are liable for a 12year long mandatory service in the MOPH service hospitals. Those who do not adhere to the mandatory service need to pay a substantial fine of 56,000 USD.

Production of medical doctors for the MOPH under the CPIRD/ ODOD projects

Up to 2015, the CPIRD/ODOD project has produced a total of 5,926 doctors for the country. The number of medical doctors under the CPIRD/ ODOD project increased from 8 in 2000 to 902 graduates in 2014. With fairly unchanged production of doctors under the normal track, CPIRD/ODOD doctors accounted for 1% and up to 47% of a total number of newly graduates employed to work in the MOPH in employment year 2001 and 2015 respectively. Overall, 95.6% and 99.6% of medical graduates under the CPIRD/ODOD projects passed the comprehensive examination and the national license examination, comparable to their normal track counterparts.

According to the 2015 MOPH health workforce database, 92% of medical graduates under the CPIRD/ODOD project remained working in the provinces to which they were primarily assigned. Additionally, the relative proportions of doctors under the CPIRD/ ODOD projects to total doctors who entered the MOPH over the last 15 years have consistently increased (Employment Years 2001-2015). Medical graduates under the two projects have contributed to a significant proportion of doctors working in rural areas, with them accounted for 39.0% of all doctors entering community hospitals each year. The percentage of CPIRD/ODOD graduates to total doctors who were working for the MOPH hospitals in 2015 was 23% and varied from 12% to 38% across 12 health regions of Thailand.

The favourable effects of the CPIRD/ ODOD projects reported in this paper might be explained by a number of key strategies and policy interventions implemented. These include various educational strategies such as targeted recruitment policy to enrol students with rural background and locating medical training schools and facilities outside the capital and major cities, which have been suggested to increase the likelihood of medical graduates to choose to work in rural areas. Additionally, compulsory service requirements in rural and remote areas are regulated to help increase recruitment and subsequent retention of doctors in the MOPH hospitals.

Recruitment of medical students with rural background, early exposure to rural health care services and locating medical schools and training services outside major cities have been reported to help increase rural primary care practice and retention in some countries. In Thailand, these strategies have so far contributed to a significant increase in the number of doctors in rural areas. Further, compared to normal track graduates, CPIRD/ODOD graduates appeared to have better clinical competencies and were twice as likely to fulfil their 3-year mandatory service and continue to work in the rural areas for a longer period after mandatory service. Altogether, it is suggested that this collaborative approach through effective partnership between the Ministry of Education and the MOPH hospitals represent an effective and efficient approach to increasing

the production of rural doctors and merits continuation, expansion or replication.

Although medical teaching under the CPIRD/ ODOD is largely carried out in service hospitals where instructors are usually overwhelmed by healthcare workload, rates of student graduation and passing the national license examination were not compromised. Medical teaching in service hospitals may also help equip students with better clinical competency as compared to teaching in large university hospitals.⁶ This is in line with the WHO Initiative on Transforming and Scaling up Health Professionals' Education and Training¹⁶ and the Global Commission on Education of Health Professionals for the 21st Century¹⁷ which emphasize that doctors should be produced in real health service system with enhancing community-oriented competencies such as teamwork skills and being a change agent.

Conclusions

A collaborative approach to increasing the production of doctors for remote and rural areas in the CPIRD/ ODOD projects is feasible and likely efficient. Favourable effect on the country's doctor shortage and possibly maldistribution was accomplished through various strategies, ranging from special recruitment and utilizing existing health service outside major cities as medical schools and training facilities, to early rural service exposure, followed by regulated rural placement and mandatory service.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr Nawapat Umthong for research data management.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Global Health Workforce Alliance. Reviewing progress, renewing commitment: progress report on the Kampala declaration and agenda for global action. Geneva: Global Health Workforce Alliance; 2011.
- 2. OECD. Health at a glance 2011: OECD indicators. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2011.
- 3. Lehmann U, Dieleman M, Martineau T. Staffing remote rural areas in middle- and low-income countries: a literature review of attraction and retention. BMC Health Services Research. 2008;8:19.
- 4. Wibulpolprasert S, Pengpaibon P. Integrated strategies to tackle the inequitable distribution of doctors in Thailand: four decades of experience. Human Resources for Health. 2003;1(1):12.
- 5. World Bank World Bank indicators physicians (per 1,000 people) World Bank; [cited 15 Nov 2015]; Available from: http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.MED.PHYS.ZS?page=3.
- 6. Putthasri W, Suphanchaimat R, Topothai T, Wisaijohn T, Thammatacharee N, Tangcharoensathien V. Thailand special recruitment track of medical students: a series of annual cross-sectional surveys on the new graduates between 2010 and 2012. Human Resources for Health. 2013;11:47.
- 7. Wibulpolprasert S, Pachanee C. Addressing the internal brain drain of medical doctors in Thailand: the story and lesson learned. Global Social Policy. 2008;8(1):12-5.
- 8. Lertsukprasert S. Collaborative project to increase production of rural doctor to tackle physician shortage problem of Ministry of Public Health. Journal of Health Science. 2008;17(7):1906–14.

Int J Med Educ. 2016; 7:414-416 415

Nithiapinyasakul et al. ■ Rural doctors in Thailand

- 9. Office of Collaborative Project to Increase Rural Doctor Production. Number of students in ODOD by teaching hospitals in 2012. Nonthaburi: Ministry of Public Health; 2012.
- 10. Brooks RG, Walsh M, Mardon RE, Lewis M, Clawson A. The roles of nature and nurture in the recruitment and retention of primary care physicians in rural areas: a review of the literature. Acad Med. 2002;77(8):790-8.
- 11. World Health Organization. Increasing access to health workers in remote and rural areas through improved retention: global policy recommendations. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
- 12. Henry JA, Edwards BJ, Crotty B. Why do medical graduates choose rural careers? Rural Remote Health. 2009;9(1):1083.
- 13. Inoue K, Hirayama Y, Igarashi M. A medical school for rural areas. Med Educ. 1997;31(6):430-4.

- 14. Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Markham FW, Paynter NP. Critical factors for designing programs to increase the supply and retention of rural primary care physicians. JAMA. 2001;286(9):1041-8.
- 15. Pagaiya N, Kongkam L, Worarach W, Srirattana S, Wongwinyu K. Rural retention of medical graduates trained by the collaborative project to increase rural doctors (CPIRD). Journal of Health Systems Research. 2012;6(2):219-27.
- 16. World Health Organization. Transforming and scaling up health professionals' education and training: World Health Organization Guideline 2013. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
- 17. Frenk J, Chen L, Bhutta ZA, Cohen J, Crisp N, Evans T, et al. Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. Lancet. 2010;376(9756):1923-58.