Medical specialty preferences in early medical school training in Canada
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Abstract

Objectives: To understand what medical students consider when choosing their specialty, prior to significant clinical exposure to develop strategies to provide adequate career counseling.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was performed by distributing optional questionnaires to 165 first-year medical students at the University of Ottawa in their first month of training with a sample yield of 54.5% (n=90). Descriptive statistics, analysis of variance, Spearman’s rank correlation, Cronbach’s alpha coefficient, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure, and exploratory factor analyses were used to analyze the anonymized results.

Results: "Job satisfaction", "lifestyle following training" and, "impact on the patient" were the three highest rated considerations when choosing a specialty. Fifty-two and seventeen percent (n=24) and 57.89% (n=22) of males and females ranked non-surgical specialties as their top choice. Student confidence in their specialty preferences was moderate, meaning their preference could likely change (mean=2.40/5.00, SD=1.23). ANOVA showed no significant differences between confidence and population size (F(1,280)=0.290, p=0.75) or marital status (F(1,280)=0.354, p=0.70) in both genders combined. Five underlying factors that explained 44.32% of the total variance were identified. Five themes were identified to enhance career counseling.

Conclusions: Medical students in their first month of training have already considered their specialty preferences, despite limited exposure. However, students are not fixed in their specialty preference. Our findings further support previous results but expand what students consider when choosing their specialty early in their training. Medical educators and administrators who recognize and understand the importance of these considerations may further enhance career counseling and medical education curricula.
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Introduction

In medical schools, students are exposed to Family Medicine and a vast number of specialties during their training. Eventual specialty choices available are broad and varied—each encompassing a particular patient demographics, skill set, disease spectrum, income, lifestyle, and a multitude of other factors. The process of choosing a medical specialty is complex. The goal is for a student to choose a specialty that best meets his or her needs and desires while at the same time matching society’s needs.¹ However, the process, including the starting point in Undergraduate Medical Education (UGME), that a student uses to determine his/her eventual medical specialty choice is not well understood. Similarly, the perceived career counseling needs of students to help them with their specialty choice has not been well studied.

There are several Canadian studies that have explored what students consider when choosing their specialty; however these have been specialty specific, looking at differentiated students who have chosen their specialty, which may make it difficult for medical educators and administrators to apply the study findings more broadly especially when counseling a student who has not yet made a specialty choice early in UGME.²⁻²⁰ For example, Scott et al. found that students choosing surgery were less influenced by considerations such as medical lifestyle, the varied scope of
practice, and social orientation. Alternatively, those who chose Family Medicine were influenced by future practice, nonpractice life considerations, and postgraduate training. Although students graded these considerations using categorical scales, such as a Likert scale, these methods may have obscured the true stratification and importance of these on specialty choice. For example, Scott and colleagues used a 5-point Likert scale to grade what students consider when choosing a specialty, which may have made it difficult to determine the precise influence of each of these given that each point was a 20% increment. Furthermore, the importance of what students consider may change with time, location, culture, technology, healthcare structure, government policy, age, workforce etc. For example, in a 2013 Spanish study, the authors identified that following an economic crisis, What was considered important, such as prestige and quality of life, were replaced with income and job security. Similarly, workforce and job security may matter in Canada, where certain specialties are overrepresented, while others are underrepresented.

These complex and ever-changing issues are challenging to navigate. Objective data that can enhance career counseling is imperative. If equipped with this broad knowledge, medical educators and administrators may further improve educational strategies, curricula and career counseling services to best meet the needs of medical students. Therefore, the objective of this study is to understand what medical students consider when choosing their specialty, prior to significant clinical exposure to specialties that were officially recognized by the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada. If the student did not have a preference, they were asked to select "unsure." Choices were then grouped into 3 core groups based on the specialty's general scope of practice: non-surgical specialties (Pediatrics, Family Medicine, Internal Medicine, Emergency Medicine, Dermatology, Neurology, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Psychiatry, and Radiation Oncology), surgical specialties (Ophthalmology, Otolaryngology, Obstetrics and Gynecology, General Surgery, Orthopedic Surgery, Neurosurgery, Cardiac Surgery, Plastic Surgery, Urology and Vascular Surgery) and auxiliary specialties (Anesthesiology, Diagnostic Radiology and Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Medical Genetics, Medical Microbiology, Transfusion Medicine, Pathology, Medical Biochemistry and Nuclear Medicine).

Students were asked to rate how confident they were of their specialty choices, with values ranging from 1 (it will most likely change - I have not begun to explore the various career options yet) to 5 (it will not change - I am sure of the specialty I want to enter at this time in my training). Ques-

tions related to possible considerations and their importance in choosing a specialty choice, and four miscellaneous questions which included career counseling) (see Appendix A). Socio-demographic and background information (gender, marital status, age, hometown population size, and confidence in specialty choice) were used to perform subgroup analyses.

Participants were then asked to rank their top three specialty choices—these included both Family Medicine and specialties that were officially recognized by the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada. If the student did not have a preference, they were asked to select "unsure." Choices were then grouped into 3 core groups based on the specialty's general scope of practice: non-surgical specialties (Pediatrics, Family Medicine, Internal Medicine, Emergency Medicine, Dermatology, Neurology, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Psychiatry, and Radiation Oncology), surgical specialties (Ophthalmology, Otolaryngology, Obstetrics and Gynecology, General Surgery, Orthopedic Surgery, Neurosurgery, Cardiac Surgery, Plastic Surgery, Urology and Vascular Surgery) and auxiliary specialties (Anesthesiology, Diagnostic Radiology and Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Medical Genetics, Medical Microbiology, Transfusion Medicine, Pathology, Medical Biochemistry and Nuclear Medicine).

Students were asked to rate how confident they were of their specialty choices, with values ranging from 1 (it will most likely change - I have not begun to explore the various career options yet) to 5 (it will not change - I am sure of the specialty I want to enter at this time in my training). Questions related to the importance of the listed considerations were rated on a continuous scale from 0 (not important or doesn’t affect specialty choice) to 100 (critical). This scale was used instead of a categorical scale, such as a Likert point scale,
to stratify their importance better. Students were then asked to rate their current knowledge of career counseling services available to them using a Likert scale ranging from 1 ("I do not know of any resources available to me") to 5 ("I am aware of sufficient resources available to me").

Procedure
Students were voluntarily recruited. The purpose of the study, the benefits and risks were explained prior to voluntary consent. Hardcopies of the consent form and the questionnaire were distributed to the students. To encourage thoughtful responses, students were asked to return these documents at a later date to allow time to reflect. The questionnaire was anonymized prior to statistical analyses. These tasks were not performed by the authors.

Data analysis
Each submission was screened to ensure completeness to be eligible for inclusion. Questionnaires with at least 80% of the questions correctly completed were included. Analyses of the data were then performed using SPSS software package (version 20; SPSS, Chicago, Illinois).

Results

Pertaining to the students’ top three specialty choices, 52.17% (n=24), 63.00% (n=29), 55.32% (n=26) of males ranked non-surgical specialties as their first, second and third choice respectively. In females, 57.89% (n=22), 68.42% (n=26), 57.89% (n=22) ranked non-surgical specialties as their first, second and third choice respectively. The top three non-surgical specialties ranked first by both genders combined were Family Medicine, Pediatrics, and Emergency Medicine. Auxiliary specialties remained the least popular choice for both genders.

The students’ confidence in their ranking was quantified. Male and female students had a mean score of 2.41 (SD=1.34) and 2.39 (SD=1.08) out of 5.00 respectively, without a significant difference between the two genders (F(1,49)=0.004, p-value=0.95). With both genders combined, the mean value was 2.40 (SD=1.23). The students’ confidence was then sub-analyzed by their hometown population size, marital status, and age. ANOVA showed no significant differences in the mean values between the three population sizes for males (F(2,48)=0.103, p=0.98), females (F (2,35)=0.432, p=0.65), and both genders combined (F(2,86)=0.290, p=0.75). Moreover, there were no differences between confidence and the students’ marital status in males (F (2,48)=0.982, p=0.38), females (F(2,35)=0.103, p=0.90), and both genders combined (F(2,86)=0.354, p=0.70). Spearman’s rank correlation did not reveal significant linear relationships when confidence was correlated with population size, marital status and age in males, females and both genders combined.

When students had to choose the single most important consideration, “job satisfaction” (n=21), “lifestyle following training” (n=18), and “future job market” (n=8) tied with personal fit into the specialty (n=8) were ranked as the highest. When students were asked to rate the importance of each listed consideration from 0 to 100, there were no significant differences between males and females for all the considerations. For both genders combined, “job satisfaction” (mean=87.81 and SD=13.33), “lifestyle following training” (mean=83.31 and SD=16.18), and “impact on the patient” (mean=82.2 and SD=14.21) were the three highest rated considerations.

The KMO of sampling adequacy value was 0.71, which suggested adequate sampling and that the listed considerations were suitable for exploratory factor analysis. Five underlying factors were identified which explained 44.32% of the variance. The five factors were “perception of the specialty” (consisting of 3 considerations, Alpha=0.70, 16.08% of the variance explained), “specialty’s practice” (consisting of 3 considerations, Alpha=0.72, 9.53% of the variance explained), “job prospects” (consisting of 3 considerations,
students were not aware of resources available to them to help choose a specialty. They preferred clear and transparent communication regarding resources available. They wanted the communications to be honest, practical and realistic so that they could apply them. Some comments focused on the residency matching process rather than the specialty itself. For example, a male student wrote, “more realistic advice on how to get into the specialty we want ...” (study id 95358714).

The second theme was Career Resources. Students emphasized having career-related resources that were practical to them. These included documents, pamphlets, and databases that were specialty specific. Students emphasized that resources should include how to decide a specialty, relevant information about job prospects and suggestions as to how to decide a specialty. Students would like contact information to connect with residents and physicians in these specialties. For example, a female student commented, “give an idea of what specialty could interest us; have a booklet with relevant information about job prospects; match us with an appropriate mentor to guide us if we decide on the specialty” (study id 95347748).

The third theme was Presentations and Seminars. Having frequent, honest and personal presentations from members of different specialties were important. Students wanted to learn from the personal experience of residents and staff, along with how they chose their specialty. For instance, a male student wrote, “incorporate guest speakers from different specialties into the curriculum...” (study id 95444370), and a female student wrote, “speakers/residents/students discuss their experiences and how they went about choosing their specialty” (study id 95343778).

The fourth theme was Opportunities for Exposure. Students wanted early opportunities to be exposed and to interact with those in the specialty of interest. These included opportunities to meet, shadow, and work. For example, a female student wrote, “opportunities to meet with members in fields of interests, to shadow them” (study id 95346040).

The fifth theme was Counseling Services. Students reported interest in services that help them align their personal characteristics with various specialties. These included frequent guidance by counselors, personality tests, reflective sessions, and workshops to identify potential specialties. For example, a male student wrote, “I would like to see mandatory counseling sessions, similar to high school guidance counseling” (study id 95284102).

Discussion

The students’ specialty preconceptions at the beginning of medical school are important to highlight because they predict the student’s ultimate specialty in 45-70% of cases. In our study, the majority of students ranked non-surgical specialties as their first, second and third choice. These are suggestive of the current emphasis on specialties that provide more flexibility and control over work hours.

However, the study findings also infer that regardless of the students’ socio-demographic differences (hometown population size, age, and marital status), they are not confident in their specialty rankings. This suggests that medical educators and administrators may be able to equally counsel students, regardless of the students’ socio-demographic differences.

Previous studies have used categorical scales, such as a Likert scale, to grade the importance of the considerations used to choose a specialty, which may have obscured the true stratification and influence of these. In our study, a continuous scale was used to clarify the influence further. Thus, overall “job satisfaction” (mean=87.81 and SD=13.33), “lifestyle following training” (mean=83.31 and SD=16.18), and “impact on the patient” (mean=82.2 and SD=14.21) were identified as the three with the highest rated mean. If categorical scales were used, these might have been difficult to differentiate.

In previous studies which explored what students considered when they have already chosen a specialty, job satisfaction, lifestyle and patient impact were similarly identified as important considerations. Our findings further support these but generalizes the importance of these to medical students early in their training. Furthermore, our results suggest that there were no significant differences between males and females for the listed considerations. When students were asked to choose the most important consideration, “future job market” was noted, which further exemplified the concerns students may have about the high unemployment rates faced by various specialties even before students complete their first month of medical training.

Five underlying factors were identified which accounted for 44.32% of the total variance. From a medical educator and administrator point of view, including discussion about these considerations that are highly regarded among students may significantly impact career counseling in a positive manner.

There are several limitations with this study. It presented a cross-sectional sample of one class consisting of two language streams (Anglophone and Francophone) at the University of Ottawa. Greater inferences may have been drawn if multiple institutions from both languages were sampled. It
is difficult to know if what students consider when choosing a specialty in Ontario are similar to other provinces. Furthermore, this study was administered to students within the first month of medical training, and, as the students suggested, it is possible and likely that the student’s perspectives will change as they begin to understand further the medical profession to which they have aspired. Similarly, as they are very early in their training and moving through an identified significant transition time, it is highly possible that they are not yet aware of the current career guidance programs and curricula already in place at their university. A future study could not only reexamine the considerations students use at various time points in medical school but also explore the most effective times in medical school for multiple components of career guidance. Understanding the timing, if any, of the transition of not only what students consider, but also their importance could again help to inform curricula and career counseling to best meet the most relevant concerns of the student through his or her training.

Conclusions
Most medical students have already considered a specialty, despite limited exposure. However, they believe that there is a moderate likelihood that they will change their mind before deciding on specialty choice at the end of their undergraduate medical training, regardless of the sociodemographic differences. Our findings further support previous studies that evaluated differentiated students who have chosen their specialty but expand the importance of these considerations among medical students early in their training. Medical educators and administrators who recognize and understand the importance of these considerations may further enhance career counseling and medical education curricula. Addressing these considerations by using the five career counseling themes identified may be an initial step. Future research may explore which considerations are important to students at various specific time points in medical school and determining which type of career guidance is most useful for each time point.
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Appendix A. Questionnaire

Demographics

1. Gender: (please circle one)
   a. Male        b. Female

2. Marital status: (please circle one)
   a. Single       b. In a serious relationship      c. Married

3. Age: please write your age below

4. Medical education stream: (please circle one)  
   a. English       b. French

5. Home town population: (please circle one)  
   a. large (population 100,000+)  
   b. medium (population 30,000-99,999)  
   c. small (population 1-29,999)

6. Current specialty of interest: (Please rank your top 3 choices from 1 = first choice to 3 = third choice)
   ___ Anesthesiology
   ___ Cardiac surgery
   ___ Dermatology
   ___ Diagnostic radiology
   ___ Emergency medicine
   ___ Family Medicine
   ___ General surgery
   ___ Internal medicine
   ___ Medical biochemistry
   ___ Medical genetics
   ___ Medical microbiology
   ___ Neurology
   ___ Orthopaedic surgery
   ___ Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery
   ___ Pathology
   ___ Pediatrics
   ___ Physical medicine and rehabilitation
   ___ Plastic surgery
   ___ Psychiatry
   ___ Public health and preventive medicine
   ___ Radiation oncology
   ___ Transfusion medicine
   ___ Urology
   ___ Vascular surgery
   ___ Peripheral vascular surgery
   ___ Unsure
   ___ Obstetrics and gynecology
   ___ Ophthalmology

7. How confident are your choices in Question 6? (Please circle a number from 1 to 5)
   - 1=It will most likely change—I have not begun to explore the various career options yet
   - 5=It will not change—I am sure of the specialty I want to enter at this time in my training

   1   2   3   4   5

Factors influencing

Please write a value for each question below from 0 = not important/doesn’t affect to 100 = critical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Question Stem</th>
<th>Value 0-100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Control/predictability over work schedule (for example: on-call, commitment to work)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Expected financial income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Intellectual content of the specialty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Scope of practice of the specialty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Future job market (for example: employment opportunities, ease of employment, demand of the specialty, job security)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Length of training required to practice (for example: length of residency program, fellowships)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Job flexibility (for example: ease of job relocation, dependency on hospital resources [operating rooms], transferable, work location, career opportunities, opportunity for private practice)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Availability of residency training placements available/ Competition for obtaining a residency position (for example: specialty competitiveness)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Characteristics of the patient population (for example: pediatrics, adult, geriatrics, acute/long-term care)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Lifestyle during training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Lifestyle following training (for example: controllable, time for family, focus on other interests/hobbies)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Influence of an attending physician’s or resident’s opinions and reflections (for example: advice, why they chose specialty, specialty satisfaction)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Perceived working environment (for example: stressful, high-pressure, fast-paced)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Patient-physician interaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Influence of a personal mentor or a teaching faculty member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Influence of family members and friends</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Prior knowledge or skill set in the specialty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Clinical or educational exposure/experience into specialty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Debt and student loans that have been incurred before practicing medicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Job satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Impact on the patient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Treatment outcomes of patients in the specialty (for example: palliative care versus cosmetic surgery)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Future opportunities to progress or sub-specialize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Gender distribution in specialty (for example: low prevalence of females in surgery)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Personal fit into the specialty (for example: share the same interests, personality, skills and values as those in the field)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Opportunities to perform procedures/techniques</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Status/prestige of the specialty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Misc Questions**

35. Single most important factor from question 8-34:

(Please write question): ……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

36. Current knowledge of career counseling services available to you to help choose a medical specialty: (Please circle a number from 1 to 5)

- 1=I do not know of any resources available to me
- 5=I am aware of sufficient resources available to me

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

37. What would you like to see in terms of career counseling in medical school? (Please write any comments in the box)

38. Any other comments you might have: (Please write any comments in the box)

I would like to be contacted for the possibility of participation in future studies

Yes - University of Ottawa Email address (i.e. AVOO25@uottawa.ca): ………………………………………………………
No